nt: ng

his

Hth

sti-

the

offer

nent dngs

.rs in neriand n be

on of

n for ts of 1955,

tuted. this :hines re no

parts ace of a new

e, is to

ald be

nd re-

tically

at the Orga-

ic sy

ictivity

r man-r. Data

rozen. ally re-

dollars

se, thus t; gridage the

s, and a

ade nor ersion to

le a rert so it

this system, which can be fully imple this system, when can be fully imple-mented in less than 5 years, is estimated to cost between \$19 and \$20 million. On the basis of a study by the Office of Man-agement and Budget, the Government will receive a \$1.70 return for every

For fiscal year 1976, the Passport Of For fiscal year 1976, the Passport of fice requests \$1 million for the development of TDIS. Authorization of this request should be included in S. 1517/F. Postponement of the program for just 1 year will cost the Government—and

the American people—\$3.1 million is lost savings. Furthermore, if our present issuance system is not replaced within relatively short period of time, it will be savings for come close to collapsing altogether. Mr. President, I cannot emphasize to strongly the need for this authorization only passport issuance system one which is rapidly deteriorating; nor, in viewiste economic crisis we face, can the Government pass up the savings which will accrue from TDIS.

Mr. SPARKMAN. Mr. President, my part, I am willing to accept it.

Mr. SPARKMAN. Mr. President, my part, I am willing to accept to amendment. I do want to say this simply states in clear and unmistate terms what is aiready in the bill. It is in the bill in this way: We provide a large amount in the category of administration of foreign affairs; and making up that sum, we took into consideration and included the same infilion, which, by the way, is a part the President's program. It has be requested, and I understand that it here approved by the State Departmen Mr. CURTIS. Mr. President, will be senator yield?

Mr. SPARKMAN. I yield.

Mr. CURTIS. I thank the Senator.

Mr. CURTIS. I thank the Senator his consideration. I know that his planation of the matter is in accord the facts.

I also thank the ranking mine I also thank the ranking minor Member, Senator Case, for his cooperation, and I thank Senator Javirs others who have been involved in the matter. I believe it is important we make this modernization.

I then the Senator very much for

I thank the Senator very much for cooperation.
Mr. SPARKMAN. Even though already in the bill as a part of the or all sum, it certainly does no harm include this language. It spells it out terms that cannot be misunderstood, Mr. CURTIS. I thank the Sens.

Mr. CURTIS. I thank the Senavery much.
Mr. CASE. Mr. President, on point, I ask the Senator from Nebruthis question: There has been some gestion that the director of the Passay Office has made a recommendation above that amounts to a national identity exystem for all Americans. I take it has not a part of anything of that Mr. CURTIS. I would think that we has a totally different thing.

Mr. CURTIS. I would think that the attally different thing.
Mr. CASE. I think it is a total different matter, not within the yellow of this commission, which deal with travel documents.

Mr. CURTIS. Yes, that is right.
Mr. THURMOND, Mr. President,

is not one member of this body who has is not been called upon by a constituent to provide expeditious passport service in cases of emergency or extreme need. We all know that the surest and fastes means of assisting our constituents in these instances is to pick up the tele-phone and call the Passport Office. Even phote and call the Passport Office Even en weekends, holidays and at night, the passport Office reacts immediately to our constituents problems. It was not always so prior to the assumption of the directorship of that Office by Miss Frances G. Knight. Are we now to deny in: Passport Office the opportunity to improve itself further by moving into the modern technological era? For this reason, I support the amendment authorizing \$1,000,000 initial funding for the Passport Office's new Travel Docuthe Passport Office's new Travel Docu-ment and Issuance System and urge my

September 11, 1975

ment and issuance system and urge my colleagues to do likewise.

Mr. HRUSKA. Mr. President, I certainly wish to associate myself with the amendment offered by my esteemed colleague, Senator Curits. The amendment is directly related to a request for \$1,000, one in the appropriation bill for the De-partment of State. This sum is to be used for the development of a project which will provide the United States with a new passport with an improved format a new passport with an improved format more casily handled, more convenient for the citizen and inspecting officers at our ports of entry. Along with this mod-em document is an issuance system which will improve greatly the mechani-cal processing of the passport. All these medern improvements will provide better rervice, more accuracy, more efficiency and speed in the gueration of the Passeed in the operation of the Pass-

and speed in the operation of the port Office.

In 1957, a previous modernization of the Passport Office brought about the development of a new passport document, a machine system of production to replace manual systems, and a move to new quarters which would permit the efficient bandling of an ever-increasing workload. quarters which would permit the emicient handling of an ever-increasing workload. This project was overwhelmingly sup-ported by the Congress and it paid off many times over in the first year of operation. Modern machinery was installed, new equipment introduced, a reresigning program for employees was de-teloped—all of which greatly improved passport services rendered to American citzens. All phases of application acceptance, passport processing, filing, record-ing and communications were improved ag and communications were improved and speeded up. Not only the American traveling public, but we, in Congress, were the beneficiaries of this tremendous improvement. In many offices it virtually thininated the follow-up telephone calls taminated the follow-up telephone calls add communications from congressional chees which were required because of months of backup workload with results delays in the issuance of passports.

Nineteen years have passed since that Nineteen years have passed since that major overhaul of the Passport Office scurred and it is now time to prepare for another step forward in meeting the tallenge of modern technical developments. Today the Passport Office Issues approximately 2,400,000 passports annually. The procedures and machinery it

developed in 1957 are now obsolete and breaking down. In addition, the basic passport writing machines are no longer produced in this country. A new look is essential, if we are to move ahead with the times. According to the conservative calculations of the Office of Budget and Management, the program recommended by the Passport Office will save the Federal Government a minimum of \$31,000,-

eral Government a minimum of \$31,000,-600 within the next 5 years. Certainly, this is not a project to be denied or de-layed by the Congress.

I wish every Federal agency would demonstrate this kind of effort to im-prove its operations and save money for the Government. This is the kind of acthe Government. This is the kind tion program our country needs. It demonstrates initiative and dedication to the improvement and effectiveness of Government services.

In an extension to these remarks, I

an describing the proposed Travel Document and Issuance System developed by the Passport Office with the purpose of making the essentials of the program available to my colleagues.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question to a creative the amendment

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is on agreeing to the amendment.

The amendment was agreed to.

Mr. CURTIS. Mr. President, I move to reconsider the vote by which the amendment was agreed to.

Mr. SPARKMAN, I move to lay that motion on the table.

motion on the table.

The motion to lay on the table was

Mr. PROXMIRE, Mr. President, I send

an amendment to the desk.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The amendment will be stated.

The assistant legislative clerk proceeded to read the amendment.

Mr. PROXMIRE, Mr. President, I ask

unanimous consent that further reading of the amendment be dispensed with.
The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without

objection, it is so ordered.

The amendment is as follows:

On page 15, immediately below line 25, insert the following new section:

FOREIGN GIPTS

SEC. 106. The Act entitled "An Act to provide certain basic authority for the Department of State", approved August 1, 1956, as amended, its amenided by adding at the end thereof the following new section:
"SEC. 17. (a) No properly purchased with appropriated funds in excess of \$50 and no appropriated funds in excess of \$50 may be given to any person of a preferred to the form of the for

appropriated funds in excess of \$50 may be given to any person of any foreign country.

"(0) Not later than 30 days following the end of each facal year, the President shall transmit a report to the Speaker of the House of Representatives and Committee on Poreign Relations of the Senate describing fully and completely—
"(1) any gift given on behalf of any person by, or with the assistance of, any officer or employee of the United States to any person of any foreign country; and
"(2) the activities of such officer or employee with respect to the giving of such of the property of such of the property of such of the property of such officer or employee with respect to the giving of such officer or employee with respect to the giving of such officer or employee with respect to the giving of such officer or employee with respect to the giving of such officer or employee with respect to the giving of such officer or employee with respect to the giving of such officer or employee with respect to the giving of such officer or employee with respect to the giving of such officer or employee with respect to the giving of such officer or employee with respect to the giving of such officer or employee with the such officer or employee with respect to the giving of such officer or employee with the such officer or employee with the such officer or employee and the such officer or employee with the such of the such of the suc

"(2) the activities of such officer or employee with respect to the giving of such gift.
"(c) Any financial transaction involving any funds made available to meet unforseen emergencies arising in the Diplomatic and Consular Service shall be audited by the Comptroller General and reports thereon made to the Congress to such extent and at such times as he may determine necessary. The representatives of the General Account-

In Office shall have access to all books, accounts, records, reports, files, and all other papers, things, or property pertaining to such financial transaction and necessary to facilitate the audit."

(b) (1) Section 7342 of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(A) by striking out the section caption and inserting in lieu thereof the following: %7342. Persign office and desertations.

\$7342. Poreign gifts and decorations"; and

(H) by striking out subsection (c) and inacting in hen thereof the following:

"(c) Congress does not consent to the accepting or retaining by an employee of any sift. No gift may be accepted by an employee."

(2) Item 7342 in the analysis of subchapter iv of chapter 73 of such title 5 is amended to read as follows:

7342. Foreign gifts and decorations."

(c) The amendments made by this section shall apply only with respect to gifts tendered on or after the date of enactment of this Act

GIFT-GIVING-AND-RECEIVING AMENDMENT

Mr. PROXMIRE. Mr. President, I think it will take only a very few minutes to dispose of this amendment, because I do not intend to press it; but I do want to bring it up and discuss it on the floor with the chalman.

with the chairman.

I have prepared an amendment to this Foreign Relations Authorization Act of 1976-77 which would preclude the giving or receiving of gifts valued at more than \$50. The prohibition would apply to individuals in foreign governments and all employees of the U.S. Government.

This is identical to an amendment which was accepted on the foreign aid bill last year but which subsequently died with that bill.

with that bill.

Mr. President, having just returned from my State of Wisconsin, I can tell my colleagues that there is nothing that disturbs voters more than giveaway programs to foreign governments. And at the top of the list of those items found objectionable are the free gifts that have been given to foreign heads of state and other officials.

In many instances these multi-milliondollar gifts are nothing more than subtle We are buying friendship and bribes. cooperation.

Gift giving by American diplomats has reached epidemic proportions. We are showering foreign leaders with a broad range of gifts that range in price up to millions of dollars.

The State Department has informed me that gift giving has quadrupled over the last 25 years, and it now takes nearly \$1 million annually just to administer the foreign gift-giving program. One million dollars just to find ways to give away more of our resources, more of our tax dollars.

One gift that remains in the minds of many is the \$3 million Sikorsky helicopter to Expetian President Anwar Sadat. The State Department justified this gift as "essential to the national interest of the United States." They took the manager for this giften with the manager for this gift as "essential to the national manager for the national manager for the national manager for the money for this givenway out of the disaster relief fund.

The General Accounting Office found that "although not strictly illegal, the

September 11, 1975

gift was contrary to the intent of Con-

On President Nixon's Journey to the Near East, 76 personal gifts—ranging from expensive crystal to gold jewelry were presented to various foreign dignitaries at public expense. These gifts were funded out of the Emergencies in the Diplomatic and Consular Services ac-count. What emergency was involved is beyond me.

And who can forget the \$10 million in Egyptian pounds donated to Mrs. Sudat's favorite charity—the Loyalty and Hope Society—an organization that and Hope Society—an organization that provides medical treatment to a number of Arab nations' civilian and military personnel? This was a pure gift, with no assurances as to how the money was spent.

Over the past year, I have inquired of the State Department as to which other foreign dignitaries were receiving gifts and at what expense. The State Department at what expense. and at what expense. The State Department refuses to provide the details linking the gifts with the specific foreign dignitaries. Similarly, the General Accounting Office has been blocked from auditing the accounts of the State Department from which the finds for efficiency partment from which the funds for gifts

One of the provisions of my amend-ment will allow the GAO access to all State Department records dealing with foreign gifts.

I ask, just what good did this extraor-dinary gift giving do? What did we buy with our largess? Are bribes necessary in the conduct of diplomacy?

It may be of interest that our \$3 million helicopter, according to press accounts, is sitting unused in the Egyptian desert. What good purpose did it serve?

Mr President, on December 3, 1974, I Mr. President, on December 3, 1944, I submitted a similar amendment to the Poreign Assistance Act, my prior amendment having died with the recommitate the Senate Appropriations Committee. I withdrew that amendment when the acting chairman and floor manager, Senator McGre, suggested that it more properly belonged on the bill being conproperly belonged on the bill being considered today. Senator McGee has been most helpful in pursuing this matter, as has the distinguished Senator from South Dakota (Mr. McGovern).

This bill and amendment come of a

This bill and amendment come at a time when once again the public's attentime when once again the public's atten-tion is being drawn to massive gift giv-ing. The proposed agreement in the Middle East apparently carries with it a very high price tag. Just how high is not yet known. Even granted that this type of aid would not fall under the pro-visions of this amendment since it only deals with individuals, the issue of diplo-ments highery and dollar diplomacy are matic bribery and dollar diplomacy are quite prominent.

Is it the policy of this Nation to sweeten diplomatic agreements by providing massive grants of U.S. aid? What hap-pened to the old-fashioned diplomacy where "good offices" means bringing two sides to agreement with diplomatic negotiations? Today, "good offices" means that the United States will be the sugar daddy-the unlimited reservoir of financial aid. We are buying diplomatic suc-

cess, and that is a very tenuous base for the negotiating posture of the United States. It is the worst possible waste of

Mr. President, I understand that the Committee on Foreign Relations has dis-Committee on Foreign Relations has dis-cussed this matter at some length and that the committee has taken an adverse position. Frankly, I feel that this amend-ment could prevail on the floor if it were offered, as indeed it has prevailed in the past. I do not intend to offer it today, if my understanding is correct—and I ask the distinguished chairman of the Forthe distinguished chairman of the For-eign Relations Committee to tell me whether or not it is. It is my understand-ing that the committee expects to hold hearings on this matter and that those hearings will be held within the next few months. Is that correct, if I may ask?

Mr. SPARKMAN. The Senator is cor-

We had a good bit of discussion, but there are many complexities to this mat-ter. We just had a discussion without

ter. We just had a discussion the holding hearings on it.

We had the GAO recommendation before us, but we did not have time to give it adequate consideration, and we do plan to take it up and hold hearings on it.

plan to take it up and hold hearings on it.

Let me ask the Senator a question or
iwo. As I understand it, his proposal
would prevent Ambassadors abroad from
making these gifts. Is that correct?

Mr. PROXMIRE. Yes. It would prevent any official of the United States,
whether an ambassador or a President of
the United States or a Secretary of
State, from making gifts in excess of \$50
to an official of a foreign government. to an official of a foreign government.

Mr. SPARKMAN. I wanted to ask whether it covered the President and all other public officials of the United

Mr. PROXMIRE. That is correct

Mr. SPARKMAN. There is another thing: This really is not involved in the thing: This really is not involved in the Senator's proposal, but we also had a discussion about gifts to Members of Congress, gifts to Senators from foreign governments. That happens sometimes. I may say that I have applied the rule all along—and this was in accord with the advice we received from somebody in the State Department—of a limitation of \$50.

I have received some gifts that I felt exceeded \$50, and I have sent those to the Department of State, again, in acthe Department of State, again, in accordance with advice that I had received. I understand that the State Department gets an accumulation of gifts and then they have an auction. Senator Scorraised some questions, and maybe some other mombers of the committee reject other members of the committee raised some questions, with reference to those auctions, as to whether they were conducted in a way so as to get the real value out of these gifts instead of just making an easy disposition of them

1 remember when the head of government of some country was here and the Senate Committee on Foreign Relations gave him a luncheon or coffee. He went home and sent me an autographed portrait in a most elegant silver frame. I felt that its value surely exceeded \$50, so I sent it over to the Department of State,

and it either rests there or has been disand it ethier resistance of has been que-posed of in an auction. I am not sure, y have received other gifts and, if I had any question about the value, I always

any question about the value, I always sent them to the Department of State. I feel that that is a good course of conduct for Senators to follow, but there has been some concern expressed in our committee as to whether or not the Department of State, in turn, was getting full value out of the disposition of gifts. Mr. PROXMIRE. I say to the distinguished chairman of the committee that I appreciate this very much. I appreciate the fact that the committee has considered it. I think, after all—I am

considered it. I think, after all—I am sure—that there is no lack of integrity on the part of Presidents or on the part of Members of Congress or on the part of other people who accept these gifts, it think it is just an embarrassing practice. It is very difficult to say no when out of the goodness of their heart, apparently, foreign Presidents or foreign guests give a gift to a President or some official. I think the law will help, how the part of Presidents or on the part of ever. I think it will help to say that, un fortunately, this is illegal, it cannot b

when we give a \$3 million helicopte to the President of Egypt or donate large sum to a foreign charity, it is not the person who gives the gift who give it. It is the American taxpayer who par for it. I believe the taxpayer, in many contract would not woul cases, would not want to pay out tha kind of money for a fancy, expensive gift to a particular individual in a foreign

country.
Mr. SPARKMAN. We gave away so

Cadillacs, did we not?
Mr. PROXMIRE. We have given awa
Cadillacs, all kinds of things. It mus

stop.
Mr. SPARKMAN. I say "we." I me

Mr. SPARKMAN. I say "we." I mea our Government.

Mr. PROXMIRE. Individual officers a our Government did. This is somethin that is not diminishing now, it is in creasing. It is four times as great as was a few years ago.

I hope that the Committee on Foreis Relations will really consider this amend ment very seriously and will come u with a recommendation one way or the other, this year, if possible—if not, ear next year—so that we can dispose of the Frankly, if the Committee on Foreis Relations takes an adverse position at

Relations takes an adverse position aft the hearings, and I think it is open as which position they will take, I inte to press as vigorously as I can for the adoption of this amendment on the flow

of the Senate.

Mr. SPARKMAN. From the discr sion we had in the Committee on Forei Relations. I believe we will be symp-thetic to some such proposal as this.

do intend to hold hearings.

Mr. PROXMIRE, I thank the distin guished Senator.
Mr. President, I withdraw my amer

The amendment was withdrawn. AMENUMENT NO. 870

Mr. BENTSEN, Mr. President, I he Mr. BENTSEN, Mr. President, I name an amendment at the desk which I to have read